

Case 3:05-cr-00395-CRB Document 493 Filed 09/14/2006 Page 1 of 3

1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney
2 3	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division
4 5	PETER B. AXELROD (CSBN 190843) LAUREL BEELER (CSBN 187656) Assistant United States Attorneys
6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6774 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 05-00395 CRB
15	Plaintiff, REQUEST, STIPULATION AND ORDER
16	v.
17	HYE CHA KIM,
18	Defendant.
19 20	This matter is currently on the Court's calendar for September 20, 2006. Through
21	counsel, defendant Hye Cha Kim and the United States ask the Court to (a) vacate the September
22	20, 2006 date based on the defendant's anticipated plea agreement, (b) set a date of November 1,
23	2006 for change-of-plea, and (c) exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 from
24	September 20, 2006, to November 1, 2006.
25	1. The parties are currently engaged in plea negotiations and request that the Court set the
26	matter for November 1, 2006, for status/change-of-plea.
27	2. Based on the on-going negotiations, defense counsel needs time to review certain
28	discovery. Further, government counsel will be out of district the first two weeks of September,
	ORDER CR 05-00395 CRB

Case 3:05-cr-00395-CRB Document 497 Filed 09/20/06 Page 2 of 3

Page 2 of 3 Filed 09/14/2006 Case 3:05-cr-00395-CRB Document 493

and on October 3, 2006, defense counsel is starting a 4-8 week trial before Judge Walker. The parties anticipate further discussions to resolve the case.

3. The parties agree that the time between September 20, 2006 and November 1, 2006 should be excluded from the Speedy Trial clock. Previously, the Court has declared this case complex. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). Further, defense counsel needs time to review additional materials from the government and investigate the immigration consequences of a plea agreement. The parties agree that a continuance is necessary for the effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(iv). Further, given counsels' schedules, a continuance is appropriate based on continuity of counsel. Id. The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from September 20, 2006 to November 1, 2006 outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a Speedy Trial. See id. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

STIPULATED:

14 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

September 14, 2006 DATE PETER B. AXELROD LAUREL BEELER Assistant United States Attorneys September 14, 2006 SCOTT SUGARMAN DATE Attorney for Hye Cha Kim

ORDER

For good cause shown, and for the reasons stated above, the Court (a) vacates the September 20, 2006, hearing date for defendant Hye Cha Kim based on the anticipated change-of-plea, (b) sets the matter for status/change-of-plea on November 1, 2006, at 2:15 p.m., and (c) excludes times under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, from September 20, 2006 to November 1.

ORDER CR 05-00395 CRB

Case 3:05-cr-00395-CRB Document 493 Filed 09/14/2006 Page 3 of 3

2006. The Court finds that the failure to grant the requested exclusion would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Further, the Court find the exclusion warranted based on continuity of counsel. The Court also finds the exclusion warranted on complexity grounds, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). Thus, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested exclusion outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), (h)(8)(B)(ii) and (h)(8)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: Apt. 19,2006

CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge

ORDER CR 05-00395 CRB